http www.aimjournal.ir

# **Geographic and Ethnographic Variations of Hemoglobin A1C**

Eduardo Pérez-Campos Mayoral, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Laura Pérez-Campos Mayoral, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Gabriel Mayoral-Andrade, PhD<sup>1</sup>; María Teresa Hernández-Huerta, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Margarito Martínez Cruz, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Eduardo Pérez-Campos, PhD<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Center, Medicine, UNAM-UABJO, Oaxaca, México

<sup>2</sup>Biochemistry and Immunology Unit of the Technological Institute of Oaxaca, Oaxaca, México <sup>3</sup>Clinical Pathology Laboratory, "Eduardo Pérez Ortega" Zaragoza 213, Oaxaca, México

round the world, approximately 9% of adults are currently living with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).<sup>1</sup> In the United States, 50% of the population may suffer from undiagnosed diabetes, and in other countries, the percentage could be higher. For this reason, it is important to establish worldwide criteria for detecting diabetes or prediabetes in asymptomatic adults.<sup>2</sup>

In 2010, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommended the use of HbA1c (A1C) for the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes.<sup>2</sup> This was based on the results of a test with a limit or cut-off point of  $\geq 6.5\%$  (48 mmol/mol).<sup>3</sup> This limit was strongly related to retinopathy. The ADA has recently published standards for classification and diagnosis of diabetes, which broaden the range of its categorization to include prediabetes with A1C levels ranging from 5.7% to 6.4% (39–47 mmol/mol).<sup>4</sup>

However, significant variations in geographical prevalence, ethnic group, age, and gender make us reconsider the criteria carefully. Other research which debates the previous assertions has been reported.<sup>5</sup> There are already several known studies of diabetes with differences in geographical data, for example, in a systematic review by Bennett et al, for diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, the A1C had a cut-off point of  $\geq 6.1\%$ ,<sup>5</sup> sensitivity varied from 78 to 81% and specificity was 79 to 84%.<sup>5</sup> Two groups of patients were selected; the first from community-based studies, and the second from hospital-based studies. The results are as follows: Colagiuri, Australia, 2004 (cut-off point  $\geq 5.3\%$ ); Mannucci, Italy, 2003, (cut-off point >6.6%); Saydan, USA, 2002, (cut-off point >6.0%); Wiener, UK, 1998, (cut-off point >6.9%); Adelaide, Australia, 2003 (cut-off point  $\geq 4.7\%$ ); Herdzik, Poland, 2002 (cut-off point  $\geq$ 6.4%); Tanaka, Japan, 2001 (cut-off point  $\geq$ 5.9%); Tavintharan, Singapore, 2000 (cut-off point  $\geq$ 5.9%); and Ko, Hong Kong, 1998 (cut-off point  $\geq$ 6.1%).

An additional study in Melbourne, Australia, by Lu et al, in 2010, found a cut-off point  $\leq$ 5.5% for A1C, which predicted an absence of type 2 diabetes, whereas  $\geq$ 7.0% predicted its presence, and an A1C between 6.5 and 6.9% predicted a high type 2 diabetes prevalence.<sup>6</sup>

In a selected Spanish population, Costa et al<sup>7</sup>, when A1C was used as the main diagnostic criterion, the detection of diabetes decreased to 5.6%–20.3%. For populations in southern and northern India, the optimal A1C cut-off point for type 2 diabetes was 5.8%, with a sensitivity of 75% and a specificity of 75.4%. However, for prediabetes/IFG (impaired fasting glucose, ADA criteria), the cut-off point of 5.5% had an optimum sensitivity of 59.7% and specificity of 59.9%.<sup>8</sup>

An interesting study by Hellgren et al,<sup>9</sup> compared two groups of ancestors, Middle-Eastern (Iraq, Turkey) and Swedish, and showed a very low A1C sensitivity in detecting T2DM or prediabetes. Other studies show that the sensitivity and specificity of A1C is very variable in different populations, which prevents the recommendation of an international cut-off point (Table 1). A research by Tuomilehto,<sup>10</sup> on the "expert-opinion-based consensus recommendations", states that the criteria is not necessarily helpful in clinical practice. We think that the recommendations need to be more specific to the geographic and ethnographic populations and individual cases.

Table 1. Different Geographical Reports With Cut-off Point Variations

	Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus			Prediabetes (IFG or IGT)		
	Cut-off Point %	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	Cut-Off Point %	Sensitivity	Specificity
San Diego, La Jolla, California <sup>11</sup>	6.5	44	79			
Shanghai, China <sup>12</sup>	6.1	81.5	81.0	5.6	66.2	51.0
Chandigarh, northern India13	6.1	81.0	81.0			
India, southern and northern9	5.8	75	75.4	5.5	59.7	59.9
Middle-East ancestry (Iraq, Turkey <sup>9</sup>	6.5	30.9	99.2	6.0	16.9	92.1
Swedish ancestry <sup>9</sup>	6.0	25.2	99.9	6.0	15.2	92.7

Abbreviations: IGF, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance.

\*Corresponding Author: Eduardo Pérez-Campos, PhD; Department of Biochemistry and Immunology, ITO-UNAM, and Medical Research Center-UNAM-UABJO, Oaxaca, Mexico. Phone: +52 (951) 5139784, Email: perezcampos@prodigy.net.mx

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures** None.

#### **Ethical Statement**

Not applicable.

### **Authors' Contribution**

EPCM, LPCM contributed to the conception and design of the manuscript. GMA, MTHH, MMC and EPC contributed to data collection. EPC prepared, wrote and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Instituto Tecnológico de Oaxaca, Tecnológico Nacional de México (5815.16-P and 6138.17-P) and the Clinical Pathology Laboratory "Dr. Eduardo Perez Ortega" in Oaxaca, Mexico. The authors thank Charlotte Grundy for her support throughout the work.

## References

- Danaei G, Finucane MM, Lu Y, Singh GM, Cowan MJ, Paciorek CJ, et al. National, regional, and global trends in fasting plasma glucose and diabetes prevalence since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 370 country-years and 2.7 million participants. Lancet. 2011;378(9785):31-40. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(11)60679-x.
- 2. Standards of medical care in diabetes--2010. Diabetes Care. 2010;33 Suppl 1:S11-61. doi: 10.2337/dc10-S011.
- Braga F, Dolci A, Montagnana M, Pagani F, Paleari R, Guidi GC, et al. Revaluation of biological variation of glycated hemoglobin (HbA(1c)) using an accurately designed protocol and an assay traceable to the IFCC reference system. Clin Chim Acta. 2011;412(15-16):1412-6. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2011.04.014.
- Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(Suppl 1):S13-s27. doi: 10.2337/dc18-S002.

 Bennett CM, Guo M, Dharmage SC. HbA(1c) as a screening tool for detection of Type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. Diabet Med. 2007;24(4):333-43. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2007.02106.x.

- Lu ZX, Walker KZ, O'Dea K, Sikaris KA, Shaw JE. A1C for screening and diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in routine clinical practice. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(4):817-9. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1763.
- Costa B, Barrio F, Pinol JL, Cabre JJ, Mundet X, Sagarra R, et al. Shifting from glucose diagnosis to the new HbA1c diagnosis reduces the capability of the Finnish Diabetes Risk Score (FINDRISC) to screen for glucose abnormalities within a real-life primary healthcare preventive strategy. BMC Med. 2013;11:45. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-45.
- Nair M, Prabhakaran D, Narayan KM, Sinha R, Lakshmy R, Devasenapathy N, et al. HbA(1c) values for defining diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in Asian Indians. Prim Care Diabetes. 2011;5(2):95-102. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2011.02.002.
- Hellgren M, Hjorleifsdottir Steiner K, Bennet L. Haemoglobin A1c as a screening tool for type 2 diabetes and prediabetes in populations of Swedish and Middle-East ancestry. Prim Care Diabetes. 2017;11(4):337-43. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2017.04.008.
- 10. Tuomilehto J. A1C as the method for diagnosing diabetes--how wise is the choice? Prim Care Diabetes. 2011;5(3):149-50. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2011.07.005.
- Kramer CK, Araneta MR, Barrett-Connor E. A1C and diabetes diagnosis: The Rancho Bernardo Study. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(1):101-3. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1366.
- Hu Y, Liu W, Chen Y, Zhang M, Wang L, Zhou H, et al. Combined use of fasting plasma glucose and glycated hemoglobin A1c in the screening of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance. Acta Diabetol. 2010;47(3):231-6. doi: 10.1007/s00592-009-0143-2.
- Kumar PR, Bhansali A, Ravikiran M, Bhansali S, Dutta P, Thakur JS, et al. Utility of glycated hemoglobin in diagnosing type 2 diabetes mellitus: a community-based study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(6):2832-5. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-2433.

Received: April 3, 2018, Accepted: June 3, 2018, ePublished: November 1, 2018

Cite this article as: Pérez-Campos Mayoral E, Pérez-Campos Mayoral L, Mayoral-Andrade G, Hernández Huerta MT, Martinez Cruz M, Pérez-Campos E. Geographic and ethnographic variations of hemoglobin A1C. Arch Iran Med. 2018;21(11):549-550.

© 2018 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.